Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/551,797	JAIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melenie McCormick	1655
All Participants: Status of Application: final rejection		
(1) Melenie McCormick.	(3)	
(2) Janet Cord.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 January 2006	Time: Afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 2 nd paragraph.		
Claims discussed: All in general.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a water ecord of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
Q/_		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Application No. 10/551,797

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted in order to discuss rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and proposed claim amendments. Applicant's representative has not responded to suggestions for claim amendments made by the Examiner.